Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/725,047	LU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lisa M. Caputo	2876
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Lisa M. Caputo</u> .	(3)	
(2) Thomas Otterlee (Reg. No. 48,652).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 November 2006	Time: <u>3 <i>pm</i></u>	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Link Courts issue Courts		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/725,047

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney in order to alert him that the supplemental appeal brief filed 5 October 2006 was still not considered compliant by the patent appeals specialists since the summary of the claimed subject matter did not reference the independent claims specifically by number.